



subgroup

Marc Kemps-Snijders

Nathan Adams

Jan van Mansum

John Doove

Positioning of MIXED (a)

Promote SDFP as a format

- ...or if anyone has a better idea for a format, as long as it leads to a standard being developed.
- Standard organisation bodies (e.g. ISO, LISA....) or domain specific body (such as dataseal of approval = more realistic)
- If SDFP is the preferable format in the dataseal of approval archives will have an incentive to contribute to MIXED
- Need for outreach to other archives to start taking up the discussion of standardisation

Positioning of MIXED (b)

Promote the SOFTWARE

- Use the software to support the standard; demonstrate with use case
- Start with the EASY repository
- Look for other archives that would have a need to adopt the software
- Sexy interface if you wish to sell to Researchers ??

So that's

- Top down (this is the new standard you should use) as well as
- Bottom up: a standard only becomes a standard if it is used
- (and a figurehead/evangelist would help)



Added Value (on top of preservation) for the MIXED project

- RDF/Semantics
- Validation of data
- This help to promote the MIXED project because it adds more immediate value for the user (preservation does not)

Open Source Community

- Unlikely that we would get a substantial userbase...
- DANS will have to take a strong and central position in Support and Development
- -> regular updates, active discussions, good support (for those are often criteria for being chosen as an opensource software product)
- ->Would DANS be willing to take up such a coordinating position?
- Or/and need to need to engage in existing open source communities (ODF, etc.)

Preservation Question?

- EASY stores the original as well as the standard format
- is that still Smart migration?
- In other words should archives store both?
- and if so you do when do you want to convert?